



Year To Date, November 2018

Referral Source

■ Unengaged ■ Engaged



Sex

■ Unengaged ■ Engaged




Race


■ Unengaged ■ Engaged



Age




Grade




Referral & Engaged

Total #Referrals	323 (100%)	
Total #Assessments	178 (55%)	
Total #Linkages	334	
Avg. #Days Refer-Assess	13.76	
	Eng(Ref)	Eng(Ref)/1000
Ashland	9(13)	2.32(3.35)
Centralia	4(10)	0.95(2.39)
Columbia	149(274)	1.24(2.27)
Hallsville	1(2)	0.65(1.30)
Harrisburg	1(4)	3.62(14.49)
Hartsburg	5(6)	47.62(57.14)
Rocheport	3(3)	12.05(12/05)
Sturgeon	11(6)	6.59(12.07)

Youth-Family Assessment-Risk (N=178)




FACE Client Satisfaction



	TPA-1 <i>Mean (s.d.; n)</i>	TPA-2 <i>Mean (s.d.; n)</i>	TPA-3 <i>Mean (s.d.; n)</i>
At Assessment	8.69 (1.73; 166)	8.21 (1.91; 139)	8.03 (1.97; 78)
1 Week Follow-up	7.26 (2.74; 66)	7.64 (2.23; 55)	7.87 (1.73; 31)
2 Week Follow-up	7.33 (2.575; 55)	7.17 (2.43; 47)	7.27 (2.43; 26)
3 Week Follow-up	6.12 (2.77; 52)	5.90 (2.63; 41)	6.46 (2.61; 26)
4 Week Follow-up	6.82 (2.96; 56)	7.21 (2.43; 47)	6.81 (2.56; 27)
<i>d</i> Assessment-Wk 4 <i>t_{rel}-test</i> (<i>p</i> -value, <i>ES</i>)	1.82 (s.d.=2.82) <i>t</i>=4.83, <i>df</i>=55 (<i>p</i>=.000; <i>ES</i>=.65)	1.13 (s.d.=2.47) <i>t</i>=3.13, <i>df</i>=46 (<i>p</i>=.003; <i>ES</i>= .46)	1.41 (s.d.=3.31) <i>t</i>=2.21, <i>df</i>=26 (<i>p</i>=.036; <i>ES</i>= .43)

Average TPA Ratings: Year to Date, 2018



	Basic Needs	Disrupt Behavior	Hyperactive-Impulsive	Attention	Peer Relations	Family Relations	Parenting	School Performance	Trauma
Females (n)	(79)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(79)	(79)	(79)	(79)	(79)
Asset	68.4%	27.1%	34.3%	25.7%	38.0%	12.7%	25.3%	19.0%	20.3%
At-Risk	31.6%	72.9%	65.7%	74.3%	62.0%	87.3%	74.7%	81.0%	79.7%
Males (n)	(99)	(91)	(91)	(91)	(99)	(99)	(99)	(99)	(99)
Asset	70.7%	30.8%	20.9%	19.8%	46.5%	20.2%	16.2%	13.1%	42.4%
At-Risk	29.3%	68.2%	79.1%	80.2%	53.5%	79.8%	83.8%	86.8%	57.6%
AfAm	(28)	(26)	(26)	(26)	(28)	(28)	(28)	(28)	(28)
Asset	46.4%	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	39.3%	10.7%	25.0%	10.7%	32.1%
At-Risk	53.6%	84.5%	76.9%	84.6%	60.7%	89.3%	75.0%	89.3%	67.9%
HLAm	(10)	(9)	(9)	(9)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
Asset	40.0%	66.7%	22.2%	11.1%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%
At-Risk	60.0%	33.3%	77.8%	88.9%	70.0%	90.0%	100%	80.0%	60.0%
EuAm	(84)	(74)	(74)	(74)	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)
Asset	73.8%	36.5%	33.8%	28.4%	41.7%	16.7%	20.2%	13.1%	32.1%
At-Risk	26.2%	63.6%	66.2%	71.6%	58.3%	83.3%	79.8%	86.9%	67.9%
MRAm	(23)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)
Asset	73.8%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	36.4%	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	13.6%
At-Risk	26.2%	80.0%	80.0%	90.0%	63.6%	90.9%	86.4%	86.4%	86.4%

Red highlight indicates proportionally higher family reported risk compared to other categories.